U.S. Application No. 10/027,916

Docket No. 4450-0358P

DUE: April 4, 2005 Art Unit: [2173]

Page 4 of 7

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application are

respectfully requested in view of the following remarks. Claims 1-28 remain

pending. Claims 1, 8 and 18 are independent.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

Applicants appreciate that claims 1-7 and 18-28 are indicated to be

allowable.

§ 102 REJECTION – BEINE

Claims 8-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being

anticipated by Beine et al. (U. S. Patent 6,701,087, hereinafter "Beine"). See

Office Action, Items 1 and 2. Applicants respectfully traverse.

For a Section 102 rejection to be proper, the cited reference must teach

or suggest each and every claimed element. See M.P.E.P. 2131; M.P.E.P. 706.02.

Thus, if the cited reference fails to teach or suggest one or more elements, then

the rejection is improper and must be withdrawn.

In this instance, Beine fails to teach or suggest each and every claimed

element. For example, independent claim 8 recites, in part "obtaining

measured power parameters at the input to the selected component."

U.S. Application No. 10/027,916

Docket No. 4450-0358P

DUE: April 4, 2005 Art Unit: [2173]

Page 5 of 7

Emphasis added. The Examiner relied upon the Abstract; column 7, lines

8-57; and column 8, lines 45-67 of Beine to allegedly teach or suggest all the

elements of claim 1. Contrary to the Examiner's assertion, Beine cannot be

relied upon to teach or suggest at least the above-recited feature.

First, it is clear that the relied upon portions of Beine are silent regarding

measuring power parameters at all. The relied upon portions merely indicate

that power parameter information is exchanged between nodes so that output

signals of each node have a selected signal power level. For example, see

Abstract.

Indeed, Beine is directed toward system for managing signal power levels

in an optical network. The network includes multiple network elements. For

example, in Figure 2, the network includes four network elements 202, 204,

206, and 208. The nodes are interconnected by signal links 210, 212, 214,

and 216, and are also connected via information links 218, 220, 222, and 224.

See column 4, lines 42-63. Beine discloses that the output signal powers of

signals transmitted on the signal links between neighboring network elements

are held at consistent output power per wavelength. See column 5, lines 19-22.

This is consistent with the power management strategy that is employed in

Beine. See column 3, line 64 - column 4, line 1. In other words, with this

strategy, each node or network element is assumed to receive input signals

. . . .

U.S. Application No. 10/027,916

Docket No. 4450-0358P

DUE: April 4, 2005 Art Unit: [2173]

Page 6 of 7

with power levels that are relatively constant. Then, to guarantee that the

particular node will output optical signals also at a constant level, this power

management strategy effectively localizes the power management within each

node in that the power management for the network becomes a function of

each node's internal component configuration and optical path variations. See

column 4, lines 5-9.

Because each node is assumed to receive inputs at a particular constant

power level, there is simply no need to measure input power levels to any

particular component selected or otherwise. Only the calculated power

parameters need to be considered to select the appropriate attenuations for

each variable optical attenuators that are part of the network element

components. Indeed, Beine is silent regarding the need for measuring power

levels at all.

Therefore, for at least this reason, independent claim 8 is distinguishable

over Beine. Claims 9-17 depend from independent claim 8 directly or indirectly.

Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above with respect to independent

claim 8, these dependent claims are also distinguishable over Beine.

Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of claims 8-17 based on

Beine be withdrawn.

U.S. Application No. 10/027,916

Docket No. 4450-0358P

DUE: April 4, 2005 Art Unit: [2173]

Page 7 of 7

CONCLUSION

All objections and rejections raised in the Office Action having been

addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in

condition for allowance. Should there be any outstanding matters that need to

be resolved, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Hyung Sohn (Reg.

No. 44,346), to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent,

and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit

Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16

or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH &, BIRCH, LLP

Michael R. Cammarata

Reg. No. 39,491

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

MRC/HNS/slb 4450-0358P